

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,737	DUBIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

Status f Applicati n: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen M. De Klerk.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date f Interview: 9 December 2003

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*4*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to provide clear antecedent basis for claim 4 and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Peter A. Hruskoci*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)